

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,145	06/21/2001	Hiroshi Tanada	MITS:029	5697
75	90 11/18/2003		EXAM	INER
ROSSI & ASSOCIATES			NGUYEN	, CAM N
P.O. Box 826 Ashburn, VA 20146-0826			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1754	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

L. L

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/886,145

Applicant(s)

Tanada et al.
Art Unit

Examiner

Cam Nguyen

1754



The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM
	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apperailly be reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  e the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>9/8/03</u>	(an RCE & amendment/response)
·	ction is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex.	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) 1, 3-23, and 25-27	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) X Claim(s) <u>15-23 and 25-27</u>	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-14</u>	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on Jun 21, 2001 is/a	re a) 💢 accepted or b) 🗆 objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.
12) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) 🗓 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
1. 🛛 Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ave been received in Application No
application from the International Bu	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisio	
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 12	6) Other:
· ————	

Application/Control Number: 09/886,145 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Status of RCE Application

- 1. A request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after the final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission filed on 9/8/03 has been entered.
- Applicants' remarks and amendments, filed on 19/8/03, have been carefully considered. Claims 2 & 24 have been canceled. Claims 1 & 3-22 have been amended.
   Claims 1, 3-23, & 25-27 are currently pending in this application.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 (Second Paragraph)

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1 & 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

بانم

Application/Control Number: 09/886,145

Art Unit: 1754

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949).

Page 3

In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation "wherein an effect inhibiting material for inhibiting an effect of said absorbing agent on said noble-metal containing catalyst layer is contained in at least one of said NOx absorbent catalyst layer and said noble-metal containing catalyst layer", and the claim also recites "wherein said effect inhibiting material is contained in said NOx adsorbent catalyst layer" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,145

Art Unit: 1754

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 15-23 & 25-27 are allowable for the following reasons:

As concern with claims 15-21, the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest an exhaust gas purifying catalyst requiring an effect inhibiting layer containing an effect inhibiting material for inhibiting movement of the absorbent agent to the noble-metal containing catalyst layer, said effect inhibiting layer is formed between the NOx absorbent catalyst layer and the noble-metal

containing catalyst layer (as specified in claim 15).

As concern with claims 22-23, the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest a method of manufacturing an exhaust gas purifying catalyst requiring the second layer is the NOx absorbent catalyst layer and the first catalyst layer is the noble-metal containing catalyst layer (as specified

in claim 22).

As concern with claims 25-27, the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest a method for manufacturing an exhaust gas purifying catalyst <u>requiring</u> forming an inhibiting layer over the first catalyst layer and forming a second catalyst layer over the first catalyst layer (as specified in claim 25).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicants' amendment/response, filed on 9/8/03, has been considered, but not deemed

persuasive in view of the new ground of rejection(s) above.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/886,145

Art Unit: 1754

Conclusion

Page 5

7. Claims 1, 3-23, & 25-27 remain pending in the application. Claims 1 & 3-14 are rejected.

Claims 15-23 & 25-27 are allowed.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Cam Nguyen, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3923. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 am. to 6:00 pm, with alternative Monday

off.

The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 (before finals) and (703) 872-9311 (after-final).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Nguyen/cnn CLN

November 16, 2003

Cam Nguyen

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit: 1754